should take into account.

THE COURT: Yes, and I don't disagree, and in my experience, in all honesty, Mr. Kotzker has been amenable to all sorts of methods of reducing cost. If that were not so, it might be a little different, but in all honesty, I think some judges around the country are violating their oath by treating these cases in a way that is different than any other litigation filed because they just don't like it, they don't like the proliferation, they don't like maybe the subject matter, and I do not --

MR. LALCHANDANI: True.

THE COURT: -- I do not feel the freedom to violate the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and put undue burden on the plaintiff here either, so I've tried to be very careful in -- in giving them the benefit of the law, which I have to because every -- every entity that appears before the U.S. District Court is entitled to equal treatment, equal process. So I -- I have tried to, when I can, suggest methods to Mr. Kotzker to reduce cost, and he's been amenable to those, but, you know, I disagree honestly with some of these cases that, for example, are striking all Does except one. I think that that's not consistent with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, so I haven't done that.

Now, there may be some district judges even in this district who agree with me, I'm just a magistrate

AVERY/WOODS REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 455 SHERMAN STREET, SUITE 250, DENVER, CO 80203 303-825-6119 FAX 303-893-8305 judge, but I have seen what I think is unnecessary hostility toward these cases because, frankly, it's Congress that did this. Congress created the law that gives Malibu Media the authority to bring lawsuit, and Congress can change the law, but in the meantime, the courts are limited to applying the law, and I know you agree with that.

MR. LALCHANDANI: I absolutely agree with that. And, Judge, so you know, I'm -- I'm familiar with many of your rulings in these cases, and I think on the whole they're spot on.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. LALCHANDANI: I am just simply -- for purposes of this motion, I am simply -- I don't think, as you've stated, I don't think it's a significant burden to ask Mr. Kotzker, who, in my minimal dealings with him, has proven to be an outstanding attorney, but I don't think it's a burden to ask him to issue the subpoenas out of Colorado, for the sole purpose that when the subscribers get their subpoena notice from Comcast, they're not immediately asked to go appear in New Jersey, and often within less than 20 days, with a motion to quash or some other motion that they might (inaudible) to protect their interest.

THE COURT: I agree completely with you, and Mr. Kotzker has agreed to do that.

Now, Mr. Kotzker, if, in fact, we run -- you run

AVERY/WOODS REPORTING SERVICE, INC.
455 SHERMAN STREET, SUITE 250, DENVER, CO 80203
303-825-6119 FAX 303-893-8305